

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,272	07/02/2001	Adil Al-Kassim	2001P7786US01	2224
7	7590 10/04/2002			
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/898,272	AL-KASSIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication com	Frederick L. Lagman	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 U.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	ı .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-14,16,18,19,22-25,28-33,36 ar</u>	nd 37 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>6-9,15,17,20,21,26,27,34 and 35</u> is/ar						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		vaminar				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		` <i>'</i>				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)	. ,					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1. 	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claim 1-5, 16, 18, 19, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kantrowitz et al #6,003,174. Kantrowitz et al discloses a table top device comprising: a central section (generally shown at 22) configured for attachment to a support base, the central section positioned outside of a beam projection area; a frame 40 fixedly attached to the central section and extending longitudinally outward; a support system 28 connected to the frame for supporting a patient thereon; wherein at least a portion of the frame and support system is located in the beam projection area, and wherein the support system is made from non-metal components such as radiolucent material such as carbon fibers.
- 3. Claims 28-33 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pageot et al #3,868,103. Pageot et al discloses a surgical and examination table comprising a central section configured for attachment to a support

•

Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

base116; a frame fixedly attached to the central section and extending longitudinally thereof; and a support system 102 integrally mounted within the frame, the support system comprising immobilization panels for immobilizing portions of a patient's body such as the pelvis, head, neck, and breast. The immobilization panels being pivotably attached to each other. The immobilization panels being made from non-metal components. The panels are removably attached via pins and the term "quick release" is relative.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10-14 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kantrowitz et al in view of Korver, II #5,537,454. Kantrowitz et al discloses the claimed invention except for the mesh member. Korver, II teaches that it is known to provide a tabletop device for imaging with mesh members 10 comprising carbon fiber materials including carbon fiber rods 16, 18 as set forth at column 2, lines 29-58. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide carbon fiber mesh panels, as taught by Korver, II in order to provide unobstructed capability of x-ray exposure.

Art Unit: 3673

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-9, 15, 17, 20, 21, 26, 27, 34, and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 703-305-7456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Schackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

Art Unit: 3673

Frederick L. Lagman Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3673

FLL

September 30, 2002